

Information for Examiners of Resubmitted MPhil & Masters by Research Candidates



THE UNIVERSITY OF
MELBOURNE

Requirements for Award of the Degree

All Master of Philosophy and Masters by Research candidates are required to submit work for external examination at the conclusion of their candidature. In most cases this will take the form of a written research thesis. Depending on the department, the candidate may be required to prepare a presentation or other creative work in addition to, or in place of, the thesis; there may also be coursework requirements not subject to external examination. The title page of the thesis (or other component submitted for examination) will indicate whether it is being submitted in full or partial fulfilment of the requirements. Candidates who have published their work during candidature may include the actual publications as part of their thesis, along with chapters that are written specifically for the thesis, provided they are the primary author. Some candidates are eligible to submit a collection of papers (either published or unpublished). Candidates submitting a collection of papers would have completed approved research while not enrolled in the course. Whatever the format, the written thesis must be presented as a unified whole and address a significant research question and should pass examination for the degree to be awarded.

The information in this document covers the general principles and procedures applying to examination of all Master of Philosophy and Masters by Research candidates, as well as specific information for the examination of written theses. Information for examining creative components is available in the additional information for examiners. The thesis title page and abstract will include details of any creative work that is included.

Examiners should note the trend across the Australian University system to insist on research topics that can realistically be completed in 12-24 months full-time as this may affect the nature and length of a thesis in some cases. A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements may also be shorter than one submitted for examination by thesis alone, but should be comparable in research quality. Irrespective of these considerations, the quality of all written theses should be of international standard.

Candidates are asked to aim to write a thesis of 30,000-40,000 words, exclusive of words in tables, maps, bibliographies and appendices. Footnotes are included as part of the word limit. Candidates may write up to 50,000 words without seeking special permission.

The recommended length for a Masters by Research (Advanced Seminar & Shorter Thesis) is 20,000-22,000 words (inclusive of footnotes but exclusive of tables, maps, appendices and bibliography) which should not be exceeded. This represents 75% of the assessment of the degree.

It is possible in some disciplines for candidates to undertake a Masters by Research thesis of 20,000 words, which along with designated research methodology seminars and two subjects constitutes the whole of the Masters degree.

Some MMus candidates may submit a shorter thesis of approximately 15,000 words; or a critical edition and commentary of comparable weighting; or a folio of analyses and commentary of comparable weighting. In addition candidates complete a postgraduate seminar and elective subjects.

Standard of examination

The degree of Master of Philosophy or Masters by Research signifies that the holder has carried out independent and sustained research conducted and reported by the holder under proper academic supervision and in a research environment for a prescribed period, usually 18 months full-time. The thesis must provide evidence that the candidate is capable of independently designing and carrying to completion a research program or project. The candidate should uncover new knowledge either by the discovery of new facts, the formulation of theories, the development of new interpretive arguments/frameworks, innovative critical analysis, and/or the innovative reinterpretation of known data and established ideas. Candidates should be able to express themselves with precision, clarity and conciseness and should be able to demonstrate writing proficiency and composition skills. Only in exceptional circumstances are candidates allowed to use paid editorial assistance from an outside source.

In order to pass examination, and thus qualify as the basis for the award of the MPhil or Masters by Research degree, candidates should be able to:

- demonstrate advanced learning in research skills and mastery of appropriate techniques, such as the use of archival or primary evidence, analysis of data, judgement of conflicting evidence;
- demonstrate specialist knowledge in the area of their research;
- demonstrate an understanding of, and commitment to, research ethics and integrity.

Nomination of examiners and conflict of interest

The University of Melbourne requires its MPhil and Masters by Research graduates to achieve at a high international level. To ensure maintenance of that standard, examiners must be of international standing in the area of research relevant to the thesis. Examiners from The University of Melbourne are not permitted, and normally no more than one examiner may come from a Victorian institution. It is important that conflicts of interest are avoided. To be eligible to examine a thesis there should be no conflict of interest of either a personal, professional, or commercial nature with the candidate, or the supervisor/s or The University of Melbourne within the last five years, or in the near future. Significant or formal association between the examiners and The University of Melbourne includes employment at the University in teaching and/or research as either a full-time, part-time or sessional staff member, or in an honorary capacity or through direct involvement with the thesis. Examiners who have a readily apparent conflict of interest should not have been nominated as an examiner. There should be no contact with the candidate while the thesis remains under examination.

The nature of the examination

Following consultation with experts in the field of research, two external examiners are appointed by the Chair of the Research Higher Degrees Committee under delegated authority of the Academic Board. A Chair of Examiners (a member of the University, normally the Head of Department or nominee) is also appointed. Candidates required to revise and resubmit for a second examination should respond to any concerns raised by the examiners and may also make other changes to improve the thesis.

A revised and resubmitted thesis must be judged on its merits and must be re-examined in its entirety. Candidates are allowed only one opportunity to make major revisions to their thesis. Therefore examiners of a revised and resubmitted thesis are required to record a final decision of either pass, pass with minor amendments, or fail. Examiners are normally provided with an electronic copy of the thesis and the thesis should not be distributed to any third party or released publically. The external examiners of a revised and resubmitted thesis are requested to submit written reports and make a recommendation on the thesis. The details of the circumstances in which these are normally used and the outcomes are listed below in the 'Examiner recommendations' section.

Legislation and Policy of the Academic Board

Graduate Research Training Policy (MPF1321)

<https://policy.unimelb.edu.au/MPF1321>

Preparation of Graduate Research Theses Rules

<https://gradresearch.unimelb.edu.au/preparing-my-thesis/writing-the-thesis>

Research Integrity and Misconduct Policy (MPF1318)

<https://policy.unimelb.edu.au/MPF1318>

Role of the Chair of Examiners

The Head of Department (or nominee) normally acts as Chair of Examiners, unless the Head of Department is a candidate's supervisor. A candidate's supervisor is not permitted to act as Chair of Examiners. The Chair of Examiners makes recommendations to the Chair of the Research Higher Degrees Committee based on the reports submitted by the external examiners, but does not have a vote in deciding the outcome of the examination and does not submit a report on the thesis.

The Chair of Examiners may act as a conduit between examiner, supervisors and candidate or other parties where an examiner requires additional information. All aspects of the examination must otherwise be considered confidential and there should be no direct communication with the candidate, the candidate's supervisors, or any other party. Examiners may seek the advice of the Chair of Examiners on details of the examination relating to the particular thesis under consideration and its content or where additional written clarification may be requested. Examiners should contact the Graduate Research Examinations Office for counsel on all matters pertaining to the regulations and statutes.

Time for examination

The Research Higher Degrees Committee is anxious to ensure that the examination process be completed as quickly as possible. Examiners are asked to complete the examination within six weeks and not exceeding eight weeks and a six week due date is set when the thesis is sent. Examiners who find themselves unable to complete their examination within this period are asked to inform the Graduate Research Examinations Office immediately and provide an estimate of when they expect to be able to do so. Where a lengthy delay is envisaged, the Committee may prefer to appoint an alternative examiner.

Once the examination is concluded examiners will be notified in writing and examiners are then welcome to contact the candidate, except for matters relating directly to the examination process.

Examiner Payment

A payment is made on receipt of the recommendation and detailed written report. Please note that the payment will only be made once the External Examiner Payment Request form (Australian resident examiners) or Western Union online form (non-Australian resident examiners) is completed and received.

Examiner's report

Each examiner is asked to submit a detailed independent report and make a recommendation on the thesis,. In their comments, examiners are requested to include comments on both the strengths and weaknesses of the thesis.

The Research Higher Degrees Committee is aware that both theses and examiners' reports can differ substantially in their format and style, but the Committee would appreciate each examiner's opinion, where appropriate, with respect to the following questions:

- does the candidate show sufficient familiarity with, and understanding and critical appraisal of, the relevant literature?
- does the thesis provide a sufficiently comprehensive investigation of the topic?
- are the methods and techniques adopted appropriate to the subject matter and are they properly justified and applied?
- are the results suitably set out and accompanied by adequate exposition and interpretation?
- are conclusions and implications appropriately developed and clearly linked to the nature and content of the research framework and findings?
- has/have the research question/questions been tested or explored according to the disciplinary norms?
- is the literary quality and general presentation of the thesis of a suitably high standard?
- does the thesis as a whole constitute a substantive original contribution to knowledge in the subject area with which it deals?

The style or format for the report has not been prescribed as we understand this can vary between examiners and disciplines. Examiners may wish to structure their report around the points above, or instead around a chapter-by-chapter account of the thesis. Comments with respect to potential publishable content within the thesis and a list of errata can also be provided where appropriate. Reports generally range anywhere between one and ten pages in length, depending on the scope of any changes required.

The Research Higher Degrees Committee would welcome any other comments of a general nature that may be of assistance to the Committee, the candidate and the supervisors.

It is normal practice to release as much of the examiners' reports to the candidates as possible. Examiners' names are not released until the conclusion of the examination and only if the examiners have agreed. **The written report must therefore be created using a blank document that does not reveal the examiner's identity.** Any material that is not to be released to the candidate should be so marked and submitted as a separate document. While the University will protect the identity of any examiner who has requested that their identity be withheld from the candidate, the Freedom of Information legislation may override this option.

The examiner's report should only be submitted to the Graduate Research Examinations Office and not to any other person.

Examiner recommendations

Examiners are required to make a recommendation and provide written comments and return both to the Graduate Research Examinations Office. Comments are required for all recommendations. Examiners make a recommendation by ticking the box beside one of the following:

Recommendation 1 - be awarded the degree without further examination or amendment required by me.

The examiner is satisfied that any errors or omissions do not warrant amendment and that the award can be made credibly on the thesis as presented. Sometimes examiners who make this recommendation include a list of suggested amendments for the candidate to use when publishing the material.

Recommendation 2 - be awarded the degree without further examination, subject to inserting in the thesis the minor corrections and/or additions as I have specified to the satisfaction of the Chairperson of Examiners, without further reference to me.

This recommendation is usually selected when the examiner detects minor problems such as inconsistencies in terminology, ambiguities in text or figures, or referencing problems. The issues are not normally specific to the field under consideration so the Chair of Examiners can determine whether the candidate has complied adequately by using the notes supplied by the examiner. Examiners often make this recommendation even where they take issue with a candidate's subject-specific interpretations but accept the validity of a divergent view. In such cases they normally include criticisms for the candidate to consider before submitting the material for publication.

Recommendation 3 - not be awarded the degree and not be permitted to submit for re-examination.

This recommendation is only made when the examiner is of the opinion that the thesis has substantive, irredeemable flaws in scholarship or logic that render it inadequate as a basis for award of the MPhil or Masters by Research degree.

Assignment of a Mark

A mark is always calculated following the first examination of a thesis. The determination of whether or not a thesis is passed is handled by the usual rules, and may include an assessment by a third examiner as part of the first examination. A third examiner may also be assigned in cases where the two initial examiners agree that the thesis should be passed, but differ widely in the mark they have recommended.

If the overall outcome of the first examination of the thesis is that it be revised and resubmitted for examination, no marks are recorded in connection with the second examination, and the examiners will be requested to assign a "pass" or "fail" outcome. The mark assigned to a resubmitted thesis that is passed as a result of the second examination will be the mark calculated by combining the marks that arose as a result of the first examination.

Note that in the case where one or more examiners select Recommendation 4 or 5 as the result of their first examination of the thesis, and the thesis is subsequently passed, the mark supplied by all examiners at the first examination will be combined to obtain the final mark for the thesis. If the final combined mark is more than 65% the mark is recorded. If the combined mark is less than 65% a result of 65% will be deemed to be awarded in order for the thesis to be passed.

Last modified 6 September 2016